Discussion Questions for ARA Framework

See http://chemicalriskassessment.org/methods/

Content

1. Is the organization of the site sensible? Is the layout intuitive? How can it be improved?

2. What information is missing or could be enhanced (for the material that is presented)?[1]

3. The initial summary paragraph for each case study is currently copied from the case study prepared. Is this appropriate? Should we use the more targeted summaries (which contain less context) from the summaries of the discussion at the workshops? Or some other approach?

Features

4. What features (e.g., advanced search methods) are missing or could be enhanced?

5. Are additional changes needed to make it easier to search for methods to address specific issues/problems? If so, what?

6. The site was designed without emphasis on the chemicals featured in the various case studies. Would it be useful to allow users to sort and filter methods by chemical for identifying chemical specific information?

Rubrics

7. The Framework currently includes measurements of applicability, data requirements, accuracy, and precision for each case study, on a four point scale. (See, for example - http://chemicalriskassessment.org/methods/human-kinetic-variability-trichloroethylene/)

   a. Are these useful metrics? What other metrics would be useful?

   b. What is the best method for establishing a "score" for these metrics?

   c. What other critical elements can be brought forward?

---

[1] Panel comments on additional needed case studies have been retained and are being used as part of the strategy for obtaining case studies.

Other Considerations (Time Permitting)

8. Is Dose Response Framework an appropriate name?

9. Should methods related to exposure assessment or other aspects of risk assessment (e.g., value of information, risk communication, etc.) be included?

10. How can we proceed to make this a sustainable project?